UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HON. SHEILA K. OBERTO, MAGISTRATE JUDGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 21-CR-22-NONE

STATUS CONFERENCE

NATHAN DANIEL LARSON,

Defendant.

Fresno, California

Wednesday, April 21, 2021

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

For the Plaintiff: United States Attorney's Office

BY: **BRIAN ENOS** 2500 Tulare Street

Suite 4401

Fresno, California 93721

For the Defendant: Wanger Jones Helsley

BY: **PETER JONES**

265 East River Park Circle

Suite 310

Fresno, California 93720

REPORTED BY: KAREN HOOVEN, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer aided transcription.

1 Wednesday, April 21, 2021 Fresno, California 2 1:06 p.m. 3 THE COURT: Going to the next case on the calendar. 4 And that is United States versus Nathan Daniel Larson. 5 will wait until Mr. Larson -- well, actually, I see Mr. 6 Larson. He has joined us. And that is action number 21-CR-22. If we can please have the parties appearances. 7 8 MR. ENOS: Brian Enos, United States. 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 10 MR. JONES: Peter Jones for Nathan Larson. And he is 11 appearing at the county jail via video conference. But he has 12 submitted an objection to that. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Good 14 afternoon to you, Mr. Enos. Good afternoon to you, Mr. Jones. 15 And good afternoon to you, Mr. Larson. I believe what you 16 indicated may answer my question, but I'm going to ask it 17 Do the parties consent to appearing via the Zoom 18 application pursuant to the CARES Act and the general orders 19 of the Court? 20 MR. ENOS: The government indeed agrees to that. 21 MR. JONES: For my purposes, I would agree to it. 22 However, Mr. Larson indicated he sent a letter to the Court 23 with reasons why he did not want to agree to it. Has the 24 Court received his letter?

If I may have a moment, please. Wendy,

25

THE COURT:

do we have a letter from Mr. Larson? I see the joint status
 report.
 THE CLERK: I have not received a letter, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for confirming that. We have not received a letter. Mr. Larson, it's my understanding that you object to appearing via the Zoom application pursuant to the general orders of the Court and the CARES Act?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. On the 9th, I sent two motions to the Court. I send a motion to the --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I don't know if the court reporter can understand you. But I can't. Thank you very much.

THE DEFENDANT: I said on the 9th I mailed to the Court two motions. One was a motion for proceeding pro per and the other was a motion objecting to holding the hearings by teleconference and the use of the face mask. That was about a five page motion or so.

THE COURT: Karen, can you understand everything?

THE REPORTER: Mostly. If it's possible to get closer to the monitor, that might help.

THE COURT: As I understand it, you indicated you submitted to motions to the Court. One objecting to appearing via the Zoom application. And what was the second one?

THE DEFENDANT: A motion to proceed pro per.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't have either of those motions before me today. And in any event, a motion to proceed -- to represent yourself would need to be heard -- placed on the duty calendar. So let me make the suggestion. I don't know your reasons for not wanting to appear via video conference, but pursuant to the general orders of the Court and the CARES Act, I'm going to exercise my discretion, given the fact that the courthouse is closed, and overrule that objection at least for today's purposes.

Let me make the suggestion. Let me ask you this, Mr. Larson. Would you have an objection to our continuing this over to my next calen -- well, actually, Wendy, shall we be setting it on the duty calendar right away? So that his motion to represent himself will be heard?

THE CLERK: Yes, it would have to be heard before the duty magistrate.

THE COURT: Okay. So let me do this. If you -- if that works for you, Mr. Larson, what I'm going to do is -- it would be -- if we receive your motion, we will forward it on to the duty magistrate judge. We have not received it yet. And I don't know. When did you send it?

THE DEFENDANT: Approximately on the 9th.

THE COURT: 9th of April. Wendy, should we have gotten it by now? Probably?

THE CLERK: We should have gotten it by now, but I

don't know where it went and if it's sitting somewhere waiting for an answer to some question on if it should be filed.

THE COURT: Mr. Jones, can you help us with that?

What I'm trying to do is make sure that if I place this on the duty calendar next week, that the motions that Mr. Larson has filed are available to the duty magistrate judge so he or she can rule on them.

MR. JONES: Well, one motion was to appear in person without a mask in court and object to video conference. And the other was to represent himself. He said -- I asked where he sent them because I thought I would have seen it on Pacer by now and the Court would have received it. Mr. Larson could elaborate on this perhaps, but he told me he sent it just to the clerk's office. Is that correct, Mr. Larson?

THE DEFENDANT: I put "Clerk, criminal division."

THE COURT: Okay. We can -- I understand, but I do need the bases for the motion for -- to represent himself. I also need the bases -- or the duty magistrate judge will need the bases for the motion to appear in person, notwithstanding the fact that the courthouse is closed.

So I guess what I'm asking you, Mr. Jones, is if you can assist with getting copies of those motions and somehow providing them to the Court to make sure they're available to the duty magistrate judge next week? Otherwise -- because for now you are still counsel. Otherwise, if I set it on the duty

magistrate judge's calendar and he or she does not have those motions, it will just delay the hearing on the matter.

MR. JONES: Well, I can certainly file something with -- after discussing with Mr. Larson the grounds for both of those motions. And I think it would be helpful if those letters were located. But I don't even know if they made it into the federal courthouse. If they're sitting on -- you know, in some -- on a desk somewhere. I don't know. But we can certainly file something.

THE COURT: I appreciate it. Mr. Enos, how -- well, let me ask you this, Mr. Larson. Would you object to my continuing this matter until next week so that Mr. Jones on your behalf can file something and also hopefully your motions by that time will get to the Court? But I would think -- and Mr. Enos, correct me if I'm wrong, that the government is going to want the time be excluded through and including next week in order for that to occur. Are you willing to exclude time --

MR. ENOS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- for a brief period so that we can accomplish locating -- hopefully your motions will arrive and Mr. Jones can file something with the Court indicating what the bases are after discussing it with you in the event that your letters don't arrive? Do you have any objection to that?

THE DEFENDANT: It's only a week, it should be okay.

So I'm fine.

THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that because that will allow the magistrate judge to make a more informed decision and receive, hopefully, the letters.

So why don't we do this. We'll set this over until -- and I'll set it for no more than a week. And I can set it for the 28th, which will be exactly a week from today. And that will be at two p.m. on the duty magistrate judge's calendar. And the motion will be a motion for a Marsden hearing. Is that correct?

MR. JONES: No.

THE COURT: No, no, it's not substitute counsel. He wants to represent himself.

MR. JONES: Faretta. A Faretta hearing.

THE COURT: Not asking for substitute counsel. You want to represent yourself. Is that correct, Mr. Larson?

THE DEFENDANT: That's correct. And also, one other issue. At the previous hearing I said at the very outset that I wanted to represent myself. And then I objected to the exclusion of time. What's the status of that? I mean, because that I was not allowed to represent myself at that hearing.

THE COURT: I -- this is --

MR. ENOS: I believe time was excluded, Your Honor.

It was before Judge Boone and he made that finding at the end

of the appearance. And I believe that's also within the minute order in the docket regarding this case.

THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Larson, what's before me today is the exclusion of time from the 21st, which is today, until the 28 -- through the 28th. And that's acceptable to you. That's just that one week period. Correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. And I will exclude time -- and in any event, time would be excluded to make sure the motions are heard given the fact that Mr. Nathan Larson is indicating he's filed motions. But I will exclude time through and including the 28th so that Mr. Larson's motions to represent himself and also to appear personally in court without a mask can be heard.

And I appreciate your time, Mr. Jones, in facilitating hopefully the recovery of the letters. But in any event, filing something on the record indicating what the nature of those motions are in the event that the letters are not received before the 28th so that the duty magistrate judge can make an informed decision.

Is there anything further I need to address before we conclude for today?

MR. ENOS: Yes, Your Honor. I'd like to just put on the record I know we're going to be appearing next week before the duty judge. But I want the Court to know and the

appellate record to know what we're doing in the interim. I

am -- initial discovery has been provided. I have received a

thumb drive. I'm going to work through that, identify any

supplemental discovery in that.

I also provided four shorter documents to Mr. Jones yesterday via email attachments. I'll make sure those are likewise Bates stamped and produced to Mr. Jones. My goal is within the next two weeks -- although in light of the COVID pandemic, there's more coordination required, after I'm able to identify the documents I'm going to need to work with a paralegal that may or may not be in the office, et cetera. But nevertheless, we will be doing that and working toward getting supplemental discovery to Mr. Jones within two weeks.

And I presume -- is this Court okay at the conclusion of next week's hearing if we just set a continued status conference at that hearing or would the Court like us to set something now knowing that other aspects of this case are still moving forward?

THE COURT: Thank you for that update. Let's wait until the conclusion of the hearing, so that we know whether or not Mr. Larson is representing himself or not, before setting a further status conference in this Court. Because we'll also have to address the issue of whether or not time will be excluded between the 28th and the next status conference.

MR. ENOS: Okay.

THE COURT: So something the duty magistrate judge should be able to do at the hearing next week.

MR. ENOS: That sounds good.

THE COURT: If for some reason time is not excluded, you can certainly set it on the May 5th calendar, which will be the next court date for the status conference calendar.

MR. ENOS: Thank you, Your Honor. And one last thing from the government's behalf. Or on the government's behalf. The government's looking into whether it should be presenting a motion to have Mr. Larson's competency examined before Judge Drozd, I presume. Is that right? It would be before Judge Drozd?

THE COURT: Correct. Yes.

MR. ENOS: Okay. And I don't yet have a ballpark filing date on the government's behalf because I'm working toward obtaining any and all psych evaluations that may have been done in the state of Colorado. So I just ask defense, you know, reciprocal discovery, if they have any of that, to provide it to us because it would expedite this issue. But I'm also going to have agents from our his Fresno office look into obtaining any such records as well.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you do have any such records, Mr. Jones, to the extent you can provide them, if they can be provided to Mr. Enos, that would be

1 appreciated. 2 MR. JONES: I have obtained some records and I would 3 have to determine if they are -- if there's any privilege --4 THE COURT: Correct. 5 MR. JONES: -- related to those records. 6 THE COURT: Understood. Understood. 7 I will --Okay. 8 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: The next hearing will be on the 28th. 10 And as I indicated earlier, if you would like to do so, you 11 can certainly put it on my calendar for a continued status 12 conference the following Wednesday. 13 Is there anything further before we conclude for 14 today? THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I wanted to mention that 15 16 US probation also has two psych evaluations which they 17 conducted because I was on supervised release twice and they 18 did evaluations. Those might be relevant. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. ENOS: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: To the extent that they're available or 22 they can provide them, Mr. Enos will obtain those. Thank you 23 very much for that information, Mr. Larson. And thank you for

appearing in court today via the Zoom application. Thank you

to you, Mr. Enos. And thank you, Mr. Jones. Did you have a

24

25

```
question, Mr. Enos?
 1
 2
              MR. ENOS: No.
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much to all of you.
 3
     Court stands in recess.
 4
 5
         (The proceedings were concluded at 1:21 p.m.)
 6
 7
              I, KAREN HOOVEN, Official Reporter, do hereby certify
     that the foregoing transcript as true and correct.
 8
 9
     DATED: 10th of June, 2021
10
                                     /s/
                                          Karen Hooven
                                     KAREN HOOVEN, RMR-CRR
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```